

## Practical Exercise: Development of a Recursive Descent Interpreter

In this guided practical exercise, we will approach the design of an Interpreter with basic resources to review the main concepts of a Recursive Descent Parser. To avoid dealing with a large and complicated grammar, we will restrict the domain to the typical arithmetic expression calculator. This way, we can obtain results with a reduced number of production rules.

We will begin with a very elementary approach, and complicate it in successive steps:

1. A parser for very simple operations.
2. A calculator for very simple operations (Parser + Semantic Routines).
3. Inclusion of expressions with parentheses.
4. Inclusion of operator precedence, and unary signs.

### 4. Inclusion of operator precedence, and unary signs

Including the reading of the next *token* allows to eliminate low-level operations in the more complex parser functions that deal only with Non-Terminals rather than with *tokens*. Thus, the *ParseExpression()* function is transformed into:

```
int ParseExpression ()           // E ::= TE'  U   E' ::= lambda | E
{
    // returns the numeric value of the Expression
    int val ;
    int val2 ;
    int operator ;

    val = ParseTerm () ;

    // ParseExpressionRest () ;           // we expand this function into ParseExpression()

    // ExpressionRest is a nullable Non Terminal
    // Therefore, we check FOLLOW(ExpressionRest)
    // This means that lambda has been derived
    if (token == '\n' || token == ')') {
        return val ;
    }

    operator = ParseOperator () ;

    val2 = ParseExpression () ;

    // At this point the input has been parsed correctly
    // This part is for the Semantic actions
    switch (operator) {
        case '+': val += val2 ;
                break ;
        case '-': val -= val2 ;
                break ;
        case '*': val *= val2 ;
                break ;
        case '/': val /= val2 ;
                break ;
        default : rd_syntax_error (operator, 0, "Error in ParseExpressionRest for operator %c\n") ;
    }
}
```

```

        break ;
    }
    return val ;
}

```

The low-level operations that cannot be eliminated are the query of FOLLOW(E'), and everything related to the semantics associated with the production.

We use the *ParseExpression()* function to integrate the two functions corresponding to E (Expression) and E' (ExpressionRest). Although the grammar cannot reflect this fusion, we can represent it with the EBNF notation:

$$\text{Expression} ::= \text{Term} [\text{Operator Expression}]^*$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Expression} & ::= \text{Term ExpressionRest} \\ \text{ExpressionRest} & ::= \text{Operator Expression} \mid \lambda \end{aligned}$$

The [Operator Expression] \* fragment indicates that it is a nullable sequence, so we need to insert a previous check on the FOLLOW set to determine if the analysis process should be terminated.