

#### **OpenCourseWare**



### CHAPTER 6: Applications: IPSEC

**Coding Techniques** 

Mario Muñoz Organero



## **Bibliography**





### **IPsec – Basic Functionality**

#### IPsec ensures:

Confidentiality, integrity, and authenticity

#### Allows secure communication in the Internet

- Independent from the application or higher protocols
- Network-layer security instead of application-layer security
  - Compatible with schemes providing security at the application layer
    - Can be applied simultaneously
- Further advantages:
  - **Can be applied to all network traffic**
  - Transparent to the applications
  - Transparent to the users

## **Applications for IPsec**

Secure connection among different branches of the same company Virtual Private Network (VPN) Secure remote access to an Intranet through the (insecure) Internet Allows secure remote workers Secure communication between peers Adding security for electronic commerce applications Mainly B2B **B2C y C2C are web-based and thus, use SSL** 

### **Components of IPsec**

Almost all components already mentioned: Symmetric encryption schemes like DES For confidential communication Diffie-Hellman to establish session keys For symmetric key exchange Keyed hash functions like HMAC For message authentication Digital certificates acting as ID card Authentication based on shared secret or public key

## Security Association (SA) I

Before transmitting encrypted data: Negotiate algorithms and keys to be used between the two communication peers: SA Definition: Security association (SA) Negotiated cryptographic parameters: The IPsec protocols ✓ The keys The duration of the key validity Unidirectional between the sender and the receiver SAs are associations identified by a SPI (Security Parameter Index)

## Security Association (SA) II

Two types of SAs: IKE (Internet key exchange) SA: "master" Long-term validity Used to negotiate the IPSEC SA **IPSEC SA:** "session" Used for data transmission For establishing a secure communication between two IP hosts: **Negotiate IKE SA** Using IKE SA to negotiate IPSEC SA Using IPSEC SA to encrypt the data to transmit The IKE SA is of long-term duration.

## Why are two types of SAs?

We start with a non-secure channel We negotiate a secure channel first We provide a series of security services: Authentication Integrity Confidentiality Will all data need these services? No, some data will require confidentiality only. Others, integrity only... Besides:

Sessions keys must be changed after some time to difficult cryptanalysis.

### **Data Transmissions**

#### After the negotiation of an IPSEC SA:

- Transmission of data possible, adding a special header to the data
  - Specifying the negotiated IPSEC SA the data belongs to
  - Containing necessary cryptographic information
  - The header is a necessary tradeoff.



### **Data Transmissions**

### IPsec has high flexibility:

- Possibility to choose the cryptographic service to use:
  - ✓ Integrity
  - Confidentiality
  - ✓ Or both
  - Authentication is always present
  - By means of fields at the header



### **IP Header Extensions**

### Authentication Header (AH)

- Guarantee of integrity and authenticity of the data sent in the IP packets
- No confidentiality (i.e., encryption)
- > Uses a keyed hash function
- Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)
  - It ensures confidentiality of the data
  - It ensures authentication
  - Integrity in ESP is optional

### **Possibilities**

#### Two flavors:

AH (protocol 51 or 33 hex)
ESP (protocol 50 or 32 hex)
Two modes:

Transport mode

Client to client

- Tunnel mode
  - Inserts the original packet in a new IP packet
  - Useful for interconnecting gateways

 Useful for remote access to a corporate intranet by means of Internet

### **Fields of an Authentication Header**





## Fields of AH

- Next Header: Identifies the protocol of the transferred data
- Payload length: size of AH header in 32 bits words minus 2.
- SPI: Identifies the security parameters, which, in combination with the IP address, identify the security association implemented with this packet.
  - Values up to 255 are reserved
- Sequence number: A monotonically increasing number, used to prevent replay attacks.
- Authentication data: Contains the integrity check value (ICV) necessary to authenticate the packet; it may contain padding. It is a <u>hash</u>.

### **Hash computation**

Put to 0 the variable fields of the IP header and the hash value in the AH header Type of Service (TOS) Flags Fragment Offset Time to Live (TTL) Header Checksum Compute hash of the resulting IP packet with the key Insert the hash value in the AH header

### **Compulsory options**

At least these two options must be implemented:
HMAC-MD5-96
HMAC-SHA-1-96
In both cases, the AH header has 24 bytes and the "payload length" is 4.



### **ESP Format**





### **ESP** fields

SPI and sequence number (like in AH)

- Data: encrypted content of higher layer protocols according with "next header"
  - Exception: if the algorithm requires exchange
- Padding:
  - Must guarantee alignment (multiple of 4 bytes)
  - Must guarantee that length data + padding + padding length field + "next header" is a multple of the size of the block (if a block cipher is being used)
  - By default it is proposed a padding which starts at 1 and is increased byte by byte (by 1 increments).

Provides minimum integrity

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID



### **IPSEC** and **NAT**

#### AH is incompatible with NAT

- Because integrity is also applied to IP addresses
- Solution: put NAT outside the VPN

#### ESP transport mode is also incompatible with NAT

- NAT changes IP addresses therefore TCP checksum must be recomputed.
- **Solution:** put NAT outside the VPN
- ESP tunnel mode may work together with NAT. But not with PAT (NAPT).
  - **PAT causes problems because:** 
    - TCP/UDP ports are encrypted and are not accessible
    - There are problems with SAs negotiation, which must be done in port 500.
  - Solution: integrate NAT management equipment and tunnel.



# IPSEC

### **Establishing SAs**





### What are we going to study?

IKE (Internet key exchange) and session set up
IKEv1
IKEv2
Security associations (IKE SA e IPSEC SA).



### **Our needs**

Negotiate the cryptographic parameters of the security associations:

- Session keys
- Encryption algorithm
- Hash functions
- Assure the identity of the other extreme:
  - Authentication
- Sometimes guarantee also:
  - Anonimity nobody else knows about the identity of the participants
  - Non-repudiation use of digital signatures
- Make easy the quick refresh of keys

### Was there anything before 1998?

ISAKMP (Internet Security Association and Key Management Protocol) protocol that defines formats and SAs set up message exchanges.

 Oakley describes a series of specific mechanisms (modes) to exchange keys, based on Diffie-Hellman.

SKEME describes a versatile technique to exchange keys that provides anonymity repudiability, and quick refresh.

◆ The result for IPSEC → IKE: protocol that uses part of Oakley and part of SKEME together with ISAKMP to set up the SAs.

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID



### **ISAKMP** Header



Cookies depend of data from the sender and the receiver as well as their IP addresses, and they are kept during the whole session.



### **ISAKMP** Payload

#### Values for the "Next Payload Type" field in the ISAKMP header:

0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- NONE
- Security Association (SA)
- Proposal (P)
- Transform (T)
- Key Exchange (KE)
- Identification (ID)
   Certificate (CERT)
- Certificate Request (CR)
- Hash (HASH)
- Signature (SIG)
- Nonce (NONCE)
- Notification (N)
- Delete (D)Vendor ID (VID)
- RESERVED
- Private USE

13 14 - 127 128 - 255



### **Exchange Modes**

Values for the field "Exch	ange Type":
	0
Base	1
Identity Protection	2
Authentication Only	3
Aggressive	4
Informational	5
ISAKMP Future Use	6 - 31
DOI Specific Use	32 – 239
Private Use	240 - 255

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

888888

### **Example: Payload for Key Exchange**

The following fields are used for a Key Exchange:

Key Exchange Data



 $\sim$ 

### **IKE versions**

Two versions:
Version 1 (1998)
Version 2 (2005)
Optimizes the message exchange



### IKE v1

Two negotiations: IKE SA set up Start unencrypted on port 500 UDP Use of IKE SA to set up IPSEC SAs Use secure channel provided by the IKE SA ✓ Continue on port 500. We can establish as many IPSEC associations as we want Data with different security requirements Session renegociation



### **Minimum requisites to support**

#### Implementations must support, at least:

- DES with CBC to cipher
- MD5 and SHA as hash functions
- Shared secret authentication

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

#### Also recommended:

- **3DES**
- RSA signatures and RSA encryption for authenticantion



### **IKEv1: modes**

Phase 1: Negotiation of the IKE SAs Authentication using a PKI or a shared secret **Two type of negotiations:** "Main Mode" (6 messages) & "Agressive Mode" (3 messages) "Aggressive Mode" more efficient Shorter negotiation But: No protection of the identity Phase 2: Negotiation of the IPSEC SAs Single type: "Quick Mode" (3 messages)



### Phase 1 overview



### How to perform the computations?

#### We need 3 keys:

SKEYID\_d – Master key to derive the rest

SKEYID\_a – For authentications

SKEYID\_e – For confidentiality

#### There are 3 ways to authenticate:

- By means of digital signatures
- By means of asymmetric encryption
- By means of shared secret

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID



### How to perform the computations?



### How to perform the computations?

#### From SKEYID:

- SKEYID\_d = prf(SKEYID, g^xy | CKY-I | CKY-R | 0)
- SKEYID\_a = prf(SKEYID, SKEYID\_d | g^xy | CKY-I | CKY-R | 1)
- SKEYID\_e = prf(SKEYID, SKEYID\_a | g^xy | CKY-I | CKY-R | 2)

#### For the authentication hash:

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

- HASH\_I = prf(SKEYID, g^xi | g^xr | CKY-I | CKY-R | SAi\_b | IDii\_b )
- HASH\_R = prf(SKEYID, g^xr | g^xi | CKY-R | CKY-I | SAi\_b | IDir\_b )



### **Authentication with certificates**

Initiator		Responder
HDR, SA	>	
	<	HDR, SA
HDR, KE, Ni	>	
	<	HDR, KE, Nr
HDR*, IDii, [ CERT, ] SIG_I	>	
	<	HDR*, IDir, [ CERT, ] SIG_R
Initiator		Responder
HDR, SA, KE, Ni, IDii	> <	HDR, SA, KE, Nr, IDir,
HDR, [ CERT, ] SIG_I	>	

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

888888

### **Authentication with asymmetric encryption**

Initiator Responder HDR, SA --> (--- HDR, SA HDR, KE, [HASH(1), ] (IDii\_b>PubKey\_r, (Ni\_b>PubKey\_r --> HDR, KE, <IDir\_b>PubKey\_i, (--- <Nr\_b>PubKey\_i HDR\*, HASH\_I --> (--- HDR\*, HASH\_R

#### HASH $(1) \rightarrow$ of the certificate of the receiver

000000

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

### **Authentication with shared secret**

Initiator	Responder
HDR, SA	>
	< HDR, SA
HDR, KE, NI	> < HDR, KE, Nr
HDR*, IDii, HASH_I	>
	< HDR*, IDir, HASH_R
Initiator	Responder
HDD SA KE Ni TDii	
	HDR, SA, KE, Nr, IDir, HASH R
HDR, HASH_I	->



UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

### **Problems of aggresive mode**

Identities are sent as plaintext

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

 If we use shared secret authentication, brute-force attacks to recover the secret are possible

We know HASH\_I and HASH\_R that:

- HASH\_I = prf(SKEYID, g^xi | g^xr | CKY-I | CKY-R |
   SAi\_b | IDii\_b )
- ✓ SKEYID = prf(pre-shared-key, Ni\_b | Nr\_b)



### Phase 2

Uses the IKE SA from phase 1 to ensure security

- IKE SA provides confidentiality, authentication, integrity
- Objective: Establish an IPSEC SA

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

- Three messages in phase 2:
  - Message 1: Proposal of parameters for negotiation and material to generate session keys, authenticated with SKEYID\_a
  - Message 2: Election of parameters and HMAC signature of the first message, authenticated with SKEYID\_a
  - Message 3: Values to generate session keys, authenticated with SKEYID\_a



### Phase 2



HASH(1) = prf(SKEYID\_a, M-ID | SA | Ni [ | KE ] [ | IDci | IDcr ])
 HASH(2) = prf(SKEYID\_a, M-ID | Ni\_b | SA | Nr [ | KE ] [ | IDci | IDcr ])

HASH(3) = prf(SKEYID\_a, 0 | M-ID | Ni\_b | Nr\_b)

UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

```
M-ID of the header ISAKMP
```

000000

### **Phase 2: Explanations**

#### IPSEC SA key (session key) built from:

The IKE SA key

**The exchanged random data** 

#### Result of phase 2:

Two unidirectional IPSEC SA, each with an appropriate SPI

PFS (Perfect Forward Secrecy):

Can be achieved by forcing a renegotiation of keys

✓ E.g., using Diffie-Hellman

- On expiration of the IPSEC SA
  - Negotiation of a new one using the IKE SA

### Phase 2 – key generation

#### Without PFS:

KEYMAT = prf(SKEYID\_d, protocol | SPI | Ni\_b | Nr\_b).

Protocol and SPI taken from the IKE association

#### With PFS:

#### KEYMAT = prf(SKEYID\_d, g^xy | protocol | SPI | Ni\_b | Nr\_b)



### IKEv2

#### Fewer messages

- 4 messages establish both the IKE association and IPSEC
- The problems of aggressive mode of IKEv1 are avoided

New authentication mechanisms accepted

Base in EAP (Extensible Authentication Protocol)



### **IKEv2 (without EAP)**





#### HDR, SAi1, KEi, Ni -->

<-- HDR, SAr1, KEr, Nr, [CERTREQ]

HDR, SK {IDi, [CERT,] [CERTREQ,] [IDr,] AUTH, SAi2, TSi, TSr} -->

#### <-- HDR, SK {IDr, [CERT,] AUTH, SAr2, TSi, TSr}



### **IKEv2** → additional SAs

#### **Initiator**



### HDR, SK {[N], SA, Ni, [KEi], [TSi, TSr]} -->

### <--- HDR, SK {SA, Nr, [KEr], [TSi, TSr]}



UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID