

uc3m

Universidad **Carlos III** de Madrid

Departamento de Matemáticas

Complex variable and transforms

Chapter 1: Complex variable

Section 1.7: Laurent series

Professors:

Domingo Pestana Galván

José Manuel Rodríguez García



1.7. LAURENT SERIES

Definition. A point $a \in \Omega$ is an isolated singularity of f , if f is holomorphic on $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$.

If a is an isolated singularity of f , then there are only the three following possibilities:

(1) a is a removable singularity of f , i.e., $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = 0$. By Theorem 13 in the previous chapter, this happens if f is bounded on $D(a, r) \setminus \{a\}$ for some $r > 0$, or equivalently if

$$\exists \lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) \in \mathbf{C}.$$

(2) a is a pole of f , i.e.,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = \infty.$$

Proposition 1. Let Ω be an open set, $a \in \Omega$ and f a holomorphic function on $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$. Then, a is a pole of f if and only if there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ (k is called the order of the pole of f at a) such that

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - a)^k},$$

where g is a holomorphic function on Ω with $g(a) \neq 0$.

PROOF. If there exists such a function g , then $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = \infty$.

Conversely, if $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = \infty$, then given $\alpha > 0$, there is $r > 0$ such that $|f(z)| > \alpha$, for every $z \in D(a, r) \setminus \{a\}$. Therefore, the function $F(z) = 1/f(z)$ is holomorphic and bounded on $D(a, r) \setminus \{a\}$, since $|F(z)| < 1/\alpha$ for every $z \in D(a, r) \setminus \{a\}$, and so, a is a removable singularity of F . If we define $F(a) := \lim_{z \rightarrow a} F(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} 1/f(z) = 0$, then Corollary 8 in the previous chapter gives that $F(z) = (z - a)^k G(z)$, where $k \geq 1$, G is holomorphic on $D(a, r)$, and $G(a) \neq 0$. Hence,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{F(z)} = \frac{1}{(z - a)^k G(z)} = \frac{g(z)}{(z - a)^k},$$

and, since $G(a) \neq 0$, $g(z) = 1/G(z)$ is holomorphic on a disk $D(a, \varepsilon)$ and $g(a) \neq 0$. Furthermore, $g(z) = (z - a)^k f(z)$ on $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$, and so, g is holomorphic on $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$. Thus, g is holomorphic on Ω . \sharp

(3) Otherwise (i.e., (1) and (2) do not hold), a is an essential singularity of f .

Picard's great theorem gives that a is an essential singularity of f if and only if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $f(D(a, \varepsilon) \setminus \{a\})$ is the whole complex plane \mathbf{C} unless, perhaps, a point. In particular, for every $w \in \mathbf{C}$, there exists a sequence $a_n \rightarrow a$ with $f(a_n) \rightarrow w$.

If a is a removable singularity of f , then f has a Taylor series about a

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - a)^n$$

on some disk $D(a, r)$.

If f has on a a pole of order k , then Proposition 1 gives that f has a Laurent series

$$f(z) = \frac{c_{-k}}{(z - a)^k} + \cdots + \frac{c_{-1}}{z - a} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - a)^n = \sum_{n=-k}^{\infty} c_n (z - a)^n, \quad c_{-k} \neq 0,$$

in a "punctured" disk $D(a, r) \setminus \{a\}$.

Finally, if f has at a an essential singularity, then f has a Laurent series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n (z - a)^n,$$

with infinitely many c_{-n} ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) different from zero.

EXAMPLE. 0 is an essential singularity of the function $f(z) = e^{1/z}$, since

$$e^{1/z} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^m = \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 \frac{z^n}{(-n)!}, \quad z \neq 0.$$

Theorem 1. Assume that $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ are simple closed curves such that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ are contained in the interior of γ . If f is an holomorphic function on the closure of the domain Ω with $\partial\Omega = \cup_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \cup \gamma$, then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\gamma_k} f(z) dz,$$

and the following Cauchy's formula holds for every $a \in \Omega$:

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) dz}{z-a} - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\gamma_k} \frac{f(z) dz}{z-a} = 2\pi i f(a).$$

Theorem 2 (Laurent's theorem). If f is holomorphic on $\Omega = \{z : r < |z-a| < R\}$ ($0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$), then there is a sequence of complex numbers $\{c_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n (z-a)^n, \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$

This series uniformly converges on compact sets contained in Ω . Furthermore, if Ω is the largest annulus centered on a such that f is holomorphic, then

$$r = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_{-n}|^{1/n}, \quad \frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Fix $r < r_1 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < r_2 < R$. We are going to prove that f is a Laurent series on the annulus $\{\rho_1 \leq |z-a| \leq \rho_2\}$. If $|w-a| = r_2$, then

$$\left| \frac{z-a}{w-a} \right| \leq \frac{\rho_2}{r_2} < 1$$

and

$$\frac{1}{w-z} = \frac{1}{w-a - (z-a)} = \frac{1}{w-a} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z-a}{w-a}} = \frac{1}{w-a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z-a}{w-a}\right)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z-a)^n}{(w-a)^{n+1}}.$$

If $|w-a| = r_1$, then

$$\left| \frac{w-a}{z-a} \right| \leq \frac{r_1}{\rho_1} < 1$$

and

$$\frac{1}{w-z} = \frac{-1}{z-a - (w-a)} = \frac{-1}{z-a} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{w-a}{z-a}} = \frac{-1}{z-a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{w-a}{z-a}\right)^n = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(w-a)^n}{(z-a)^{n+1}}.$$

If $\rho_1 \leq |z-a| \leq \rho_2$, then Theorem 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-a|=r_2} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-a|=r_1} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-a|=r_2} f(w) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z-a)^n}{(w-a)^{n+1}} \right) dw + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-a|=r_1} f(w) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(w-a)^n}{(z-a)^{n+1}} \right) dw \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-a|=r_2} \frac{f(w)}{(w-a)^{n+1}} dw \right) (z-a)^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-a|=r_1} f(w)(w-a)^n dw \right) \frac{1}{(z-a)^{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we can change the order of integrals and series since the series converge uniformly.

Note that Theorem 1 gives that the values of the integrals

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-a|=r_2} \frac{f(w)}{(w-a)^{n+1}} dw, \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-a|=r_1} f(w)(w-a)^n dw,$$

do not depend on r_1 and r_2 , we can write

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(z-a)^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{-n-1}}{(z-a)^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(z-a)^n$$

for every $\rho_1 \leq |z-a| \leq \rho_2$. As we can choose any $r < r_1 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < r_2 < R$, then f is equal to this Laurent series for every $z \in \Omega$. $\#$

EXERCISE. Obtain the Laurent series of $f(z) = 2/(z^2 - 4z + 3)$, on the domains $\{|z| < 1\}$, $\{1 < |z| < 3\}$ and $\{|z| > 3\}$.

Definition. We say that f is meromorphic on Ω if f is holomorphic on Ω unless on isolated singularities, and they are either removable or poles.

Corollary 1 (Bernouilli-l'Hôpital's rule 3). If f_1 and f_2 are meromorphic functions and have a pole at a , then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}.$$

PROOF. Let k_j be the order of the pole of f_j at a , then $f_j(z) = g_j(z)/(z-a)^{k_j}$ with g_j holomorphic at a and $g_j(a) \neq 0$ for $k = 1, 2$.

If $k_1 = k_2$, then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{g_1(z)(z-a)^{-k_1}}{g_2(z)(z-a)^{-k_1}} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{g_1(z)}{g_2(z)} = \frac{g_1(a)}{g_2(a)}$$

and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{g_1'(z)(z-a)^{-k_1} - k_1 g_1(z)(z-a)^{-k_1-1}}{g_2'(z)(z-a)^{-k_1} - k_1 g_2(z)(z-a)^{-k_1-1}} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{g_1'(z)(z-a) - k_1 g_1(z)}{g_2'(z)(z-a) - k_1 g_2(z)} = \frac{g_1(a)}{g_2(a)}.$$

If $k_1 < k_2$, then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{g_1(z)(z-a)^{-k_1}}{g_2(z)(z-a)^{-k_2}} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z-a)^{k_2-k_1} \frac{g_1(z)}{g_2(z)} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{g_1'(z)(z-a)^{-k_1} - k_1 g_1(z)(z-a)^{-k_1-1}}{g_2'(z)(z-a)^{-k_2} - k_2 g_2(z)(z-a)^{-k_2-1}} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z-a)^{k_2-k_1} \frac{g_1'(z)(z-a) - k_1 g_1(z)}{g_2'(z)(z-a) - k_2 g_2(z)} = 0.$$

If $k_1 > k_2$, then a similar argument gives the result. $\#$

Corollary 2 (Bernouilli-l'Hôpital's rule 4). Let f_1, f_2 be two holomorphic functions on $\{|z| > r\}$ for some $r > 0$, such that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f_1(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f_2(z) = \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}.$$

PROOF. The functions $f_1(1/z)$ and $f_2(1/z)$ are meromorphic on a neighborhood of 0 (and they have a pole at 0 since $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f_1(1/z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f_2(1/z) = \infty$). Hence, they satisfies the hypotheses on Bernouilli-l'Hôpital's rule 3 at 0 and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)} = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_1(1/w)}{f_2(1/w)} = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{-w^{-2} f_1'(1/w)}{-w^{-2} f_2'(1/w)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}. \quad \#$$

Theorem 3. f is meromorphic on the open set Ω if and only if $f = g/h$ with g, h holomorphic functions on Ω and h is not identically zero.