

**Problem 1 (2,5 points)**

- a) **(1 point)** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ . Construct the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{\{a\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{\{a\}, \{b\}\}$ .
- b) **(1,5 points)** Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  be a fixed measurable subset of  $X$ . We define  $\mu_E(A) = \mu(A \cap E)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Using that  $\mu$  is a measure in  $X$ , prove that  $\mu_E$  is also a measure in  $X$ .

a) To construct them we must add the necessary subsets so that the  $\sigma$ -algebra properties are verified:  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}_1} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}_2} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, X\}$ .

b) Since  $\mu$  is a measure:  $\mu_E(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset \cap E) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ;

Let  $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a disjoint countable collection for sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the collection  $\{A_j \cap E\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is also disjoint and, as  $\mu$  is a measure,

$$\mu_E\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) = \mu\left(\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) \cap E\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (A_j \cap E)\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j \cap E) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_E(A_j).$$

**Problem 2 (2,5 points)**

- a) **(1 point)** State the monotone convergence theorem.
- b) **(1.5 points)** Prove that the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$  if  $x \in (0, 1]$ , and  $f(0) = 0$ , is Lebesgue-integrable in  $[0, 1]$  and calculate its integral.

a) Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of measurable functions such that

$$0 \leq f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \leq \dots \leq \infty, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Then

$$\int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n.$$

b) As  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \chi_{[1/N, 1]}(x) \nearrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \chi_{(0, 1]}(x) = f(x)$  when  $N \rightarrow \infty$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f(x) \chi_{[1/N, 1]}(x) dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/N}^1 f(x) dx.$$

But  $f(x)$  is continuous in the bounded interval  $[1/N, 1]$  and so it is Riemann-integrable in  $[1/N, 1]$  and its Lebesgue integral coincide with its Riemann integral, and to compute it we can use Barrow's rule. Hence,

$$\int_1^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/N}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [2\sqrt{x}]_{x=1/N}^{x=1} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} 2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} - 1\right) = 2.$$

**Problem 3 (3 points)**

- a) (1 point) State the dominated convergence theorem.  
b) (2 points) Using this last theorem, compute the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n e^{x/2} dx.$$

---

a) Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of complex measurable functions such that  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  a.e. on  $X$ . If there exists a function  $F \in L^1(\mu)$  such that

$$|f_n(x)| \leq F(x), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ a.e. } x \in X,$$

then  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

b) Let  $f_n(x) = (1 - \frac{x}{2})^n e^{x/2} \chi_{[0,n]}(x)$ . As  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \frac{x}{n})^n = e^{-x}$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = e^{-x/2}$ . Hence, we guess that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n e^{x/2} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty f_n(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)\right) dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-x/2} dx = 2.$$

To prove it, we will show that  $|f_n(x)| \leq e^{-x/2} \in L^1(0, \infty)$  and then our conjecture will be a consequence of the dominated convergence theorem. To do that it is enough to prove that  $(1 - \frac{x}{n})^n \leq e^{-x}$  if  $x \in [0, n]$ . This inequality is equivalent to  $n \log(1 - \frac{x}{n}) \leq -x$ . If we define  $F(x) := x + n \log(1 - \frac{x}{n})$  for  $x \in [0, n]$ , then we must prove that  $F(x) \leq 0$  for  $x \in [0, n]$ . But

$$F'(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{n}} = -\frac{x/n}{1 - \frac{x}{n}} \leq 0 \implies F \text{ is decreasing} \implies F(x) \leq F(0) = 0.$$

---

**Problem 4 (2 points)** Let us consider the measure space  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \mu)$ , with  $\mu$  the counting measure and the product measure space  $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}), \mu \otimes \mu)$ . Let us define the function

$$g(m, n) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2^{-m} & \text{if } m = n, \\ -1 - 2^{-m} & \text{if } m = n + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Check that  $\int_{\mathbb{N}} (\int_{\mathbb{N}} f(m, n) d\mu(m)) d\mu(n)$ , and  $\int_{\mathbb{N}} (\int_{\mathbb{N}} f(m, n) d\nu(n)) d\mu(m)$  exist and are distinct and that  $\int_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} |f(m, n)| d(\mu \otimes \mu)(m, n) = \infty$ . What is the relevance of this result?

---

---

For fixed  $n$  we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} f(m, n) d\mu(m) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f(m, n) = f(n, n) + f(n+1, n) = 1 + 2^{-n} - 1 - 2^{-n-1} = 2^{-n-1},$$

and, for fixed  $m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{N}} f(m, n) d\mu(n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(m, n) = \begin{cases} f(1, 1), & \text{if } m = 1, \\ f(m, m-1) + f(m, m), & \text{if } m \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 + 2^{-1}, & \text{if } m = 1, \\ -1 - 2^{-m} + 1 + 2^{-m}, & \text{if } m \geq 2. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 3/2, & \text{if } m = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } m \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{N}} f(m, n) d\mu(m) \right) d\mu(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f(m, n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{N}} f(m, n) d\mu(n) \right) d\mu(m) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(m, n) = \frac{3}{2} + 0 + \cdots + 0 + \cdots = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Hence, the iterated integrals do not coincide also in this case. Therefore, Fubini's theorem can not be applied and since  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite the only possibility is that  $f \notin L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$  (as it can be easily verified).

---