uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid



OpenCourseWare

CALCULUS – EVALUATION TEST 3 (solutions)

Filippo Terragni, Eduardo Sánchez Villaseñor, Manuel Carretero Cerrajero

Problem 1. Find *all* values of the parameter $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^k(x/3)}{k^{1/5} + k^{1/6}}$$

converges.

SOLUTION

Let $a_k = \frac{\sin^k(x/3)}{k^{1/5} + k^{1/6}}$. Then, we have $\left|\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}\right| = |\sin(x/3)| \frac{k^{1/5} + k^{1/6}}{(k+1)^{1/5} + (k+1)^{1/6}} \longrightarrow |\sin(x/3)| \text{ as } k \to \infty.$

Thus, thanks to the *ratio test*, the series converges if

$$|\sin(x/3)| < 1 \quad \iff \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ with } \frac{x}{3} \neq \frac{\pi}{2} + n\pi, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that the series would be divergent for those values of x satisfying $|\sin(x/3)| > 1$, which never holds. On the other hand, if

$$\sin(x/3) = 1 \quad \iff \quad \frac{x}{3} = \frac{\pi}{2} + j\pi, \ j = 0, 2, 4, \dots,$$

then $\alpha_k = 1/(k^{1/5}+k^{1/6})$. In this case, considering $b_k = 1/k^{1/5}$, we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{a_k}{b_k}=1>0.$$

Thus, by the limit comparison test, the series diverges since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ is divergent (both series have positive terms). Finally, if

$$\sin(x/3) = -1 \quad \iff \quad \frac{x}{3} = \frac{3\pi}{2} + j\pi, \ j = 0, 2, 4, \dots,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \mathfrak{a}_k \, = \, \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{k^{1/5} + k^{1/6}} \, ,$$

which converges thanks to the *Leibniz test*. Hence, we can conclude that the given series is convergent for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \neq 3(\pi/2 + j\pi)$, j = 0, 2, 4, ...

Problem 2. Consider the function $f : [-1, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x^2} & \text{if } -1 \le x < 0, \\ x & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1. \end{cases}$$

- Prove that f(x) is bounded and calculate its image.
- Study the differentiability of f(x) in the interval (-1, 1).

SOLUTION

In order to prove that f(x) is bounded in [-1, 1], we can prove that f(x) is continuous in that interval (closed and bounded). Indeed, the function is continuous in $[-1, 0) \cup (0, 1]$ as defined in terms of continuous functions. Now, let us prove its continuity at x = 0. We can write

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 0^+} x = 0,$$
$$\lim_{x \to 0^-} f(x) = \lim_{x \to 0^-} e^{-1/x^2} = 0,$$

hence $\lim_{x\to 0}f(x)=f(0)$. As a consequence, f(x) is continuous and bounded in [-1,1]. Moreover, its image is the interval [0,1]. Finally, the function f(x) is differentiable for all $x\in(-1,1)$, with $x\neq 0$, as

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{h}{h} = 1,$$
$$\lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{e^{-1/h^2}}{h} = 0.$$

Problem 3.

- Approximate the value $\sqrt[7]{6/5}$ by using a polynomial of degree 2.
- Find a proper *upper bound* for the error involved in the previous approximation.

SOLUTION

Note that

$$\sqrt[7]{\frac{6}{5}} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{5}\right)^{1/7}$$

can be calculated by evaluating the function $f(x) = (1 + x)^{1/7}$ at x = 1/5. Such function can be expressed, thanks to the *Taylor Theorem*, as

$$(1 + x)^r = 1 + r x + \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)x^2 + R_2(x),$$

with $r=1/7\,.$ The previous expression is the sum of a polynomial of degree 2 and the remainder $R_2(x)$ given by

$$R_2(x) = \frac{f'''(c)}{3!} x^3,$$

where $f^{'''}(c)=78/343\,(1+c)^{-20/7}$ and $c\in(0,x)$ when x>0. Thus, we can approximate the desired value as

$$\sqrt[7]{\frac{6}{5}} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{35} - \frac{3}{49} \frac{1}{25} \approx 1.0261$$

Finally, an *upper bound* for the involved error at x = 1/5 can be obtained as

$$\left| \mathsf{R}_2\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) \right| \, = \, \frac{78}{343} \, \frac{1}{(1+c)^{20/7}} \, \frac{(1/5)^3}{3!} \, < \, \frac{78}{343} \, \frac{(1/5)^3}{3!} \, \approx \, 3 \cdot 10^{-4} \, ,$$

where the inequality holds since $c \in (0,1/5),$ which implies $1/(1+c)^{20/7} < 1$.

Problem 4. Calculate

$$\lim_{x\to 0} \left(\frac{1}{x^4} - \frac{1}{x^7} \int_0^x \sin(3t^2) \, \mathrm{d}t\right).$$

SOLUTION

The given limit can be expressed as

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{x^3 - F(x)}{x^7}, \text{ with } F(x) = \int_0^x \sin(3t^2) \, dt.$$

Thus, by applying the l'Hôpital's rule and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we get

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{x^3 - F(x)}{x^7} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{3x^2 - F'(x)}{7x^6} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{3x^2 - \sin(3x^2)}{7x^6}$$
$$= \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{3x^2 - [3x^2 - 9/2x^6 + o(x^6)]}{7x^6} = \frac{9}{14},$$

where, in the last-but-one identity, the function $sin(3x^2)$ has been approximated by the corresponding Maclaurin polynomial of degree 6.

Problem 5. Calculate the definite integral

$$\int_0^{\ln\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{e^{2t}-1} \,\mathrm{dt}\,.$$

SOLUTION

By applying the change of variable $u = \sqrt{e^{2t} - 1}$ (yielding $dt = u(u^2 + 1)^{-1}du$), we get

$$\int_{0}^{\ln\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{e^{2t} - 1} \, dt = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{2}}{u^{2} + 1} \, du = \int_{0}^{1} \left[1 - \frac{1}{u^{2} + 1} \right] \, du$$
$$= \left[u - \arctan(u) \right]_{0}^{1} = 1 - \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Problem 6. Study the convergence of the family of *improper* integrals given by

$$I_n(\lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} x^n e^{-\lambda x} dx, \quad \text{with} \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\lambda > 0$.

SOLUTION

Note that $I_0(\lambda)$ is convergent. In addition, the integration by parts yields

$$I_{1}(\lambda) = \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{0}^{b} x e^{-\lambda x} dx = \lim_{b \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} - e^{-\lambda b} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} + \frac{b}{\lambda} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}},$$

hence $I_1(\lambda)$ is convergent as well. Now, in order to apply the *principle of induction*, suppose that $I_k(\lambda)$ converges for $n = k \in \mathbb{N}$ and prove that $I_{k+1}(\lambda)$ is also convergent (for n = k+1). Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{I}_{k+1}(\lambda) &= \lim_{b \to \infty} \int_{0}^{b} x^{k+1} e^{-\lambda x} \, dx &= \lim_{b \to \infty} \left[-\frac{b^{k+1}}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda b} + \frac{k+1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{b} x^{k} e^{-\lambda x} \, dx \right] \\ &= \frac{k+1}{\lambda} \, \mathrm{I}_{k}(\lambda) \,, \end{split}$$

using the integration by parts. This means that $I_{k+1}(\lambda)$ converges, since $I_k(\lambda)$ does so thanks to the induction hypothesis. Hence, we can conclude that all improper integrals of the given family are convergent for $\lambda > 0$ (with n = 0, 1, 2, ...).